



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

HU et al.

Confirmation No. 4890

OK TO ENTER: /JH/

Application No. 09/924,265

Group Art Unit: 3736

09/08/2008

Filed: August 7, 2001

Examiner: J. Hoekstra

For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR VISION EXAMINATION UTILIZING FAULT
DETECTION**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Commissioner:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:


- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response and Amendment under 37 C.F.R. 1.116.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately requested, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a request for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
THE NATH LAW GROUP

Date: August 22, 2008

THE NATH LAW GROUP
112 South West Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703)548-6284


Jerald L. Meyer
Registration No. 45,194
Derek Richmond
Registration No. 45,771
Customer No. 20529



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

HU et al.

Confirmation No. 4890

Application No. 09/924,265

Group Art Unit: 3736

Filed: August 7, 2001

Examiner: J. Hoekstra

For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR VISION EXAMINATION UTILIZING FAULT
DETECTION**

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a full and complete response to the Office Action having a mailing date of June 4, 2008. The three month shortened statutory period to respond to the Office Action was set to expire September 4, 2008, making this a timely-filed response.

In view of the following remarks and amendments, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejections and allow this application.

REMARKS

Claims 42, 43, 45 – 52, and 54 – 58 are presented for reconsideration and further examination in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. Claims 1 – 41 are withdrawn, and claims 44 and 53 were previously cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 42, 43, 45 – 52, 54, 55, and 58 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,517,021 to Kaufman et al. (hereinafter